

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,505	03/01/2004	Gregory John Smith	,	1029
75	90 03/24/200	· ·	EXAM	NER
GREGORY J. SMITH 474 JEAN ST.			SUTTON, ANDREW W	
OAKLAND, C	A 94610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3765	-
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SOP .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,505	SMITH, GREGORY JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew W. Sutton	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statust of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 29 June 2005.					
)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	, 					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	-···	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	n □ · · · ·	(070,440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTOB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/04.)-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the inversion of the glove must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The amendments filed 11/12/04 and 6/29/05 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendments are replete with new matter issues such as cavity in the tip 4 of the pushrod 3, use with multi-layer gloves, added dimensions not originally disclosed, etc.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each claim states in the preamble a procedure and instrument, which makes it unclear if the claims, are apparatus claims or method claims. From the language the examiner assumes them to be apparatus claims, but this needs to be corrected.

Further claim 10 states the limitation having opposing ends of likely similar configurations. It is unclear as to what the applicant is trying to claim as the recitation claims no real structure. Also, the applicant claims a positioner in claims 10-16 that is not disclosed in the specification. It is unclear as to what the applicant is talking about here. The applicant assumes that the applicant is discussing the base and the following action will be based on those assumptions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-16 rejected as best understood by the examiner under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US 6,568,572). Smith illustrates in Fig. 1
a positioner 1 and a guide 2 having opposing ends of "likely similar configurations."

Application/Control Number: 10/790,505

Art Unit: 3765

As to claim 11, Smith illustrates in Fig. 1 a positioner 1 having means to stabilize and guide 2 having sufficient dimensions.

As to claim 12, Smith illustrates in Fig. 1a the positioner having a orifice and Fig. 2 shows the guide 2 in the orifice.

As to claim 13, Smith illustrates in Fig. 1a the guide 2 with opposing ends with likely similar configurations which provides a means for reciprocity.

As to claim 14, Smith illustrates in Fig. 2 a guide 2 that is placed in the orifice of the positioner 1.

As to claim 15, Smith illustrates in Fig. 2 a guide 2, which has the same diameter throughout its length. Therefore it either end would be capable of going in the orifice of the positioner 1 and the other end would be exposed.

As to claim 16, Smith illustrates in Fig. 7 shows a glove being inverted on the disclosed device above and since the positioner 1 and guide 2 must be put together as shown, then it is inherent that it is detachable.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art cited on the form PTO-892 shows the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W. Sutton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,505

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AWS 3/17/06

> JOHNS CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6